

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<u>IN</u>	SPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)		AINT/DISCOVERY OMPLAINT NO:	Y (CI)	
ΑI	RS ID#: 7775516 DA	TE: <u>11/14/11</u>	ARRIVE:	1310	DEPART: <u>1400</u>	
FA	ACILITY NAME: ST	EEL CITY PRODUCTS &	SERVICES, INC.			
FA	ACILITY LOCATION	N: 2400 NW 16th St				
		POMPANO BEAC	CH 33069-1541			
CO	WNER/AUTHORIZE Email: DNTACT NAME: Email: VTITLEMENT PERIO	D REPRESENTATIVE: OD: 6/7/2008 / 6/7/2008 (effective date) (end date)	013	PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(954)957-9490	
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
	Name(s) of facility rep Brief Notes:	resentative(s):	<u>G</u>		(check 🗹 box for each	only one n question)
2.	Is the Authorized Reprise If no, who is?:	resentative still JOSEPH RI	EUL?		Xes	□No
3.	If different, did the fac Is the facility contact s If no, who is?:	cility provide an administrat till ?	tive update within 3	0 days?	Yes Yes	⊠No □No
4.		eting VE test(s) during toda ance authority notified at le				⊠No ⊠No

Emissions Unit Section Subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one
1. Date of last inspection:	box for each question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	
operation? N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No
d. Date of last VE test:	
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? -	
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour	
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state	
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	Yes No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE tes	st?
If not, what was the problem (if known)?	
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	/ 1 1 7 1
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check only only one
cherosea storage and conveying equipment	box for each question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	
If not, what was the problem (if known)?	
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the si	
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo no	t loaded during inspection.
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	Yes No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour	_
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	
If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and $g.3$	
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching	
duration?	
3) What was the batching rate?tons/hour. What was the batching duration?	
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector of from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust	
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and dura	
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? n	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	
d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	
· —	

Emissions Unit Section Subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection: 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine emissions by: 	d	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the fo		
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	☐ Yes	☐ No
control emissions?	Yes	☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?	Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		□ N
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	∐ No □ No

Facility Section (continued)

-	ONE DE LA TRION OF CONTROL A PROMITE DE L'ONE DE LA TRION ANY		1	
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑ box for each	•	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		□ No	
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		□ No	
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	-	 No No No No No No No	
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr + 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + 1.3 MM gal propared 1.3 MM gal propared that the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consums for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ne/yr nption	? No	
GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ✓ only one box for each question)				
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	☐ No	
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- Yes	☐ No	
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No	
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	Yes	☐ No	

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check 🗹 box for each	•		
1. Is the facility: stationary []; relocatable []; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable [] concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following question 2.</i>)				
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	Yes	☐ No		
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(☐ No		
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	- Yes	☐ No		
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?	Yes	☐ No		
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose?		☐ No		
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?		☐ No ☐ No		
CHANGES	(check ☑ box for each	•		
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	ative not aits or 	□ No □ No		
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership?		 No No No No No		
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee sub 30 days prior to the change?	omitted Yes	☐ No		
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection				
hispector's Name (Flease Finit)				
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Inspection	spection			